https://doi.org/10.17221/88/2023-SWR

Implications of surfactant application on soil hydrology, macronutrients, and organic carbon fractions: An integrative field study

Cansu Almaz, Recep Serdar Kara, Markéta Miháliková, Svatopluk Matula

Department of Water Resources, Faculty of Agrobiology, Food and Natural Resources, Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Prague, Czech Republic

Electronic Supplementary Material (ESM)

The authors are fully responsible for both the content and the formal aspects of the electronic supplementary material. No editorial adjustments were made.



Figure S1. Comparison of the soil temperature between untreated control soils (A) and H2Flo treated soils (B)
H2Flo – non-ionic soil surfactant treated soil

https://doi.org/10.17221/88/2023-SWR



Figure S2. Comparison of the crop coverage between untreated control soils and H2Flo treated soils (A); comparison of the enhanced vegetation index (EVI) on 30 June between untreated control soils and H2Flo treated soils (B); comparison of normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) values throughout the vegetation period (C)

H2Flo – non-ionic soil surfactant treated soil